

Weekly OCII and SFDPH Conference Call Talking Points

12:15pm-12:45pm, Virtual

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

December 14, 2020 | Internal Use Only

<u>San Francisco Department of Public Health</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Amy Brownell, Hunters Point Lead- Patrick Fosdahl, soon to be acting director, Environmental Health	<u>Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Kasheica McKinney, Hunters Point Project Manager
---	---

Next Meeting

The next meeting is January 4 or 11 at 12:15 pm. Maybe once a month in 2021, starting January 11th.

Today's Participants – Yolanda, John, Patrick, Amy and Kasheica

Communications/Meetings

- **Media:**

- No media
- Title VI complaint against SFDPH and BAAQMD on dust issues at Parcel A – The complaint is a little discombobulated; yet, it all flows back to a request for money for biomonitoring efforts. Complaint lodged by CARE, which is not the first time CARE has lodged this type of complaint.

- **Community events/interest:**

- **Parcel A Fact Sheet** – EPA issued the Parcel A fact sheet on November 6th. EPA just started efforts to translate this into Cantonese characters. We appreciate OCII's offer to do a second review of that translation. Any response from the community? Kasheica hasn't heard anything; OCII hasn't posted it on its website, yet. HPS CAC shared it with their mailing list and the artists.
- **Upland slurry wall in Parcel E-2** – Supervisor Walton asked questions about the viability of the slurry wall over time. The upland slurry wall to allow the incoming groundwater to flow and hit the wall (be diverted) to the wetlands. The contractors found an obstruction in the field and designed the wall around it. OCII has not started discussion of transfer on O&M responsibilities of the landfill.
- **Letter to HPS CAC on EPA's role** – EPA is working on a letter to the Committee to better explain EPA's role at the site and communicate the Navy is the lead agency; therefore, the Navy is the lead spokesperson for the work and should be the lead in addressing questions from the public.
- **Last month, the Navy responded to EPA's letter on community involvement.** Did they share this letter with Supervisor Walton? In September, Supervisor Walton had invited the Navy to participate in a meeting about its response to our letter. They Navy declined, suggesting there were not prepared to respond. In its November letter, the Navy defended its community involvement and outreach program and mentioned an evaluation of it in 2021.
- **SF Shipyard HOA meeting:** The Navy is working with the SF Shipyard HOA to participate in a January meeting, tentatively scheduled for January 20.
- **Communicating schedule of radiological retesting to the public.** We will continue to push the Navy to find a way to clearly and consistently communicate a moving timeline on the radiological retesting to the public. We all need to set-up realistic expectations and communicate the precision of the process.
- **Urine Screening:** Any hope on the Navy addressing this?

Internal Use Only

- **Never Surrender: The Fight for EJ in BVHP:** Any feedback from the community?
- Dust on Parcel A: Questions from a SF Shipyard resident at a recent Navy technical advisor's office hours event about dust and naturally-occurring asbestos.
- **Congressional interest:** Last week, we had a briefing with Mr. Edmonson from Speaker Pelosi's office and staff from other congressional offices. We discussed what EPA meant when we suggested there were unanswered public health questions from the public. Edmonson appreciated the Parcel A fact sheet and asked if the different government agencies could be more coordinated in communicating messages to the public. We also discussed the ongoing dialogue with the Navy on the radiological remediation goals for buildings.
- **FOIA:** Our site attorneys continue to work on the *monster* inquiry from Alston Bird law firm in Los Angeles asking for about 47 different topics from 2001-present. Still working on the FOIA from Hanson Bridgett FOIA.

OCIL team – Mayor Breed has appointed Sally Oerth as the Interim Executive Director of OCIL. Sally has a wealth of experience at OCIL. There will likely be a national recruitment process for this mayoral appointed position.

Health & Safety Scans onsite – The Navy has issued a final work plan and plans to do work in the Spring. Is the City still planning to request CDPH to do an independent scan?

Parcel G Building RGs Long-term Protectiveness Evaluation: On Friday, the Navy issued a response letter to EPA. The Navy would like to start the work scanning the buildings in January 2021, using the current building RGs. This might be a soft mobilization. The Navy suggested EPA's proposed values from our BPRG calculator are not technically implementable and are below background levels, specifically pointing out numbers for radium and thorium. EPA is curious to see what these background levels are; and, we don't expect any PRP to cleanup below background levels. John suggests that they will need to obtain background numbers for the work anyways. We haven't seen an example of the use of RESRAD for potentially radiologically-contaminated buildings to be determined appropriate for residential use. The Navy did include a number of \$300 million to demolish the buildings.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Redaction: Last

Parcel G radiological retesting soil fieldwork: EPA continues with our field oversight and split sampling, alongside the state.

- We continue to work with the Navy and the state on the "memorandum to the file" which will document how the Navy will implement the new background value for Cesium and may complete a secondary evaluation on the ROCs. The state seems to be concerned the Navy will not call the new 'clean up trigger' for Cesium a new remediation goal.
- We continue to pay close attention to the Navy's implementation of its dust management and air monitoring plan. In fact, we have been asking the Navy to obtain professional meteorological

Internal Use Only

equipment (or stop the practice of subtracting upwind measurements). This hasn't been a problem, as the numbers have been well below our protective limits.

Parcel D-1 Post-ROD change and LUC RD: EPA maintains, as we supported in our comments of September 9 and in our recent legal discussions, that the proposed change fundamentally changes the performance of the remedy and requires a ROD Amendment and associated public participation.

Deliberative